

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/602,929	HEUER, LUTZ	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Brian J. Davis	1621	

All Participants:

(1) Brian J. Davis.

(2) Jennifer R. Seng.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 4 November 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

The outstanding 112, second paragraph, rejection of claim 7.

Claims discussed:

7

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 BRIAN DAVIS
 PRIMARY EXAMINER
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 11/1/05 the examiner spoke with Alka Patel (the attorney of record for the 10/25/05 amendment) stating that all claims were now allowable except claim 7 and enquiring as to the possibility of canceling the claim by examiner's amendment in order advance the prosecution and pass the application to issue. Jennifer Seng returned the call on 11/4/05 stating that claim 7 could be canceled by examiner's amendment and that she would send in written authorization (received 11/07/05).